UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,328	08/29/2002	Mark Van Roon	5035-118US	2892
Richard C Woo	7590 04/07/200 <b>dbridge</b>	EXAMINER		
Woodbridge &		COLBERT, ELLA		
PO Box 592 Princeton, NJ 0	8542-0592		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	lication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/088,32	28	ROON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ella Colbe		3696				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed (	on 04 January 200	R					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 January 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	,	<del></del>		osecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
-		cation						
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Withdrawn hom co	isideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or cloation road	iromont					
0)[	Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction a	na/or election requ	mement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the E							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 200</u>	<u>8</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc∈	epted or b)⊡ objected	I to by the Examir	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 3696

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9 are pending. Claims 1-5, 8, and 9 have been amended in this communication filed 1/4/08 entered as Response After Non-Final Rejection, Request for Extension of Time, and New or Additional Drawings.

- 2. The Drawing objection has been overcome by Applicants' submission of corrected drawings and is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The claim objection for claim 1 has been overcome by Applicants' amendment to claim 1 and is hereby withdrawn.
- 4. The 35 USC 112, second claim rejections for claims 2, 4, 5, and 8 as being indefinite has been overcome by Applicants' amendment to claims 2, 4, 5, and 8 and are hereby withdrawn.
- 5. The 35 USC 101 rejection for claims 1-9 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter has been overcome by Applicants' amendment to claims 1-9 and are hereby withdrawn.
- 6. Claims have been carefully reviewed since the amendments have been made and a restriction has been found as set forth here below.

## Election/Restrictions

- 7. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claim 1-7, drawn to a method and a computer program transferring funds in a currency X to a first legal person and transferring funds in a currency Y to the second legal person, classified in class 705, subclass 35.

II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a computer based system operable such that parties located in two or more jurisdictions meet their foreign currency payment obligations, the first party located in a first jurisdiction inputs details of a potential first foreign currency transaction, a second party located in a second jurisdiction inputs details of a potential second financial transaction, and determining if any transfer of funds from the first party to a payee located in the first jurisdiction satisfies in whole or part the requirement of the second party to transfer funds to the payee, classified in class 705, subclass 39.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I has the transferring of funds in a currency of a first legal person and the transferring of funds in a currency of the second legal person and Invention II has a computer based system operable such that parties located in two or more jurisdictions meet their foreign currency payment obligations, the first party located in a first jurisdiction inputs details of a potential first foreign currency transaction, a second party located in a second jurisdiction inputs details of a potential second financial transaction, and determining if any transfer of funds from the first party to a payee located in the first jurisdiction satisfies in whole or

part the requirement of the second party to transfer funds to the payee. The subcombination has separate utility such as Invention I can be used for funds transfer not only to a person in the United States to a person in a foreign country but from one state to another in the United States .or one country to another overseas and Invention II has separate utility such as any transaction involving a party in a first jurisdiction and a party in a second jurisdiction and the transferring of funds from one jurisdiction to another jurisdiction.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Application/Control Number: 10/088,328 Page 5

Art Unit: 3696

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3696

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dixon Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,328 Page 7

Art Unit: 3696

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ella Colbert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696

March 31, 2008

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/088,328	ROON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ella Colbert	3696	